

E-filed 5/29/07

SARAH A. GOOD (No. 148742)
Email: sgood@howardrice.com
JIN H. KIM (No. 208676)
Email: jkim@howardrice.com
JASON S. TAKENOUCI (No. 234835)
Email: jtakenouchi@howardrice.com
REBECCA M. KAHAN (No. 244423)
Email: rkahan@howardrice.com
HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY
FALK & RABKIN
A Professional Corporation
Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4024
Telephone: 415/434-1600
Facsimile: 415/217-5910

Attorneys for Defendants
JUNG-HERNG CHANG, PETER JEN, GERRY
LIU, JOHN LUKE, AMIR MASHKOORI,
W. STEVEN ROWE and specially appearing for
YASUSHI CHIKAGAMI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HOWARD
RICE
NEMEROVSKI
CANADY
FALK
& RABKIN
A Professional Corporation

In re TRIDENT MICROSYSTEMS, INC.,
DERIVATIVE LITIGATION

No. C 06-3440 JF (PVT)

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS

STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
ENLARGING TIME FOR INDIVIDUAL
DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSES TO
AMENDED COMPLAINT

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

1 WHEREAS, lead plaintiffs Viken Kalindjian and Gerald W. Keeney (“Lead Plaintiffs”)
2 filed their First Amended Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint (“Amended Complaint”) on
3 March 7, 2007 against Trident Microsystems, Inc. (“Trident” or “Company”) and certain former
4 and current officers and directors of the Company (“Individual Defendants”);

5 WHEREAS, by the parties’ stipulation and Court order dated March 22, 2007, Individual
6 Defendants’ responses to the Amended Complaint are due 45 days after the Company’s filing of
7 any response other than a motion to dismiss for failure to plead that making a demand would have
8 been futile;

9 WHEREAS, Trident filed on April 30, 2007 a Motion to Stay Action Pending Evaluation
10 of Shareholder Derivative Claims by Special Litigation Committee (“Motion to Stay”) with a
11 hearing date of July 20, 2007;

12 WHEREAS, Individual Defendants and Lead Plaintiffs agree that it would promote judicial
13 economy to have the Motion to Stay heard and resolved before Individual Defendants are required
14 to file any responses to the Amended Complaint;

15 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the undersigned, subject to
16 the approval of the Court, that Individual Defendants shall have up to and including forty-five (45)
17 days after notice of the Court’s order denying Trident’s Motion to Stay to file their responses to the
18 Amended Complaint. In the event the Court grants Trident’s Motion to Stay, Individual Defendants
19 shall have up to and including forty-five (45) days after notice of the Court’s order lifting such a stay
20 to file their responses to the Amended Complaint.

SARAH A. GOOD
JIN H. KIM
JASON S. TAKENOUCHI
REBECCA M. KAHAN
HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK &
RABKIN
A Professional Corporation

By: _____ /s/
JIN H. KIM

DATED: May 25, 2007.

CHARLES J. LANDY
WALTER J. ROBINSON
THOMAS G. ALLEN
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

By: _____ /s/
WALTER J. ROBINSON

Attorneys for Defendant JOHN S. EDMUNDS

JEFFREY S. FACTER
JUSTIN S. CHANG
SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

By: _____ /s/
JUSTIN S. CHANG

Attorneys for Defendant FRANK C. LIN

-3-

I, JIN H. KIM, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSES TO AMENDED COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that WALTER J. ROBINSON, JUSTIN S. CHANG, MONIQUE WINKLER and HWAY-LING HSU have concurred in this filing.

DATED: May 25, 2007.

By: /s/
JIN H. KIM

HOWARD
RICE
NEMEROVSKI
CANADY
FALK
& RABKIN

A Professional Corporation